

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/3045/2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 18 AUG 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 93 OF 2016.

(Sub:- Transfer / GPF / Salary).

1. Shri. Dadarao D. Sherkhane,
R/o at and post Raleras, Taluka Vairag, Barshi, Dist: Solapur.
....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through the Revenue and Forest Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. 2 The Tahsildar Establishment Branch-2, Taluka Barshi, Dist:Solapur.
- 3 The Sub-Divisional office, Solapur No.1, Dist:Solapur.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 12th day of August, 2016 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri R.M.Kolge, Advocate for the Applicants.
Smt. K.S.Gaikwad holding for Ms. N.G.Gohad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER-(J)

DATE : 12.08.2016

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Amalal
18/8/2016
Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

Tribunal's orders

O.A.93/2016

Shri D.D. Sherkhane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The Applicant is a Class-IV employee and he has brought this OA challenging his transfer by the order dated 29th March, 2014 on several grounds and has asked for setting it aside and vide Prayer Clause (b), he has sought a direction for the Respondent No.3 to consider the applications of the Applicant and/or his mother dated 6.6.2014, 10.12.2014 and 13.1.2015.

Submissions were heard on the last occasion except for arguments of Mr. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Ms. Gohad, the learned P.O. was heard.

Mr. Kolge makes a statement at the Bar that as of now in this OA, he restricts his prayer to Prayer Clause (b) and leave be reserved for him to challenge the transfer as well as the issue with regard to the GPF, Salary, if need be to be agitated by subsequent action.

In my view, since the matter relates to the transfer of Class-IV employee which cannot be a common place transfer and since the representations above referred to have remained pending even after the filing of this OA, the course of action urged by the learned Advocate Shri Kolge can very safely be adopted while laying down an outer time limit for compliance. This OA is, therefore, disposed with a direction that the representations dated 6.6.2014, 10.12.2014 and 13.1.2015 referred to in Prayer Clause (b) hereof be decided by the 3rd Respondent within six weeks from today and the fate thereof be informed to the Applicant within one week thereafter. The Applicant is granted leave to withdraw the rest of the Prayers with liberty to file a fresh OA, if need be on the same cause of action including that related to GPF, Salary, etc. No order as to costs.

(Handwritten signature)
12.8.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
12.08.2016

(skw)

3
nat
Z

TRUE COPY

(Handwritten signature)
18/8/2016
Asst. Secy. (Research Officer)
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai.